

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,370	10/12/2000	Masashi Saito	07553.0010	4800
22852	7590 09/09/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
DUNNER LLI		KACKAR, RAM N		
1300 I STREE	,			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	7_
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	mt 7
	09/686,370	SAITO ET AL.	!
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ram N Kackar	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN avoid abandonment of the (1) a timely filed amendment of the (2) are (2) are (3) are (4)	CONDITION FOR ALLOW is application. A proper renent which places the application at timely filed Request for	VANCE. ply to a cation in
	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set than SIX MONTHS from the mail AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH date on which the petition under 3 ension and the corresponding armored statutory period for reply origin	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. IF CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate expending the fee. The appropriate expands to the fee. The appropriate expands out to the final Office action; or	See MPEP re extension fee rtension fee under re (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require fur		search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•		
(c)   they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	l by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	• • • •	· —	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Exar	niner.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

GREGORY MILLS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/686,370

Art Unit: 1763

Applicant's arguments and Examiners' response.

Applicant has raised new issue after amending claim 1 which would require further consideration and search. It is examiners belief that the said amendment does not bring novelty or non-obviousness over prior art.